SUBJECT No. 69.

Duty and penalty on promissory notes.

Mr. Thimmappaiya, Manjarabad taluk.

Summary of discussion.—On account of ignorance, people still write promissory notes on plain paper or on stamp paper of insufficient value. As there is no provision for levying duty and penalty for the validation of such documents, cases of grave hardship occur very frequently. Provision may therefore be made for the levy of duty and penalty on promissory notes as on receipts.

Reply of Government.—Noted.

REGISTRATION.

(No. 70.)

SUBJECT No. 70.

Abolition of copying fee for extra words.

Mr. B. Gundu Rao, Devanhalli taluk.

Summary of discussion.—The levy of additional Registration fee for extra words on documents presented for Registration may be abolished.

Reply of Government.—Not possible.

Revenue Survey and Inam Settlements.

(No. 71.)

SUBJECT No. 71.

Abolition of separate levy of irrigation cess during Resurvey Settlement.

Mr. K. Thammaiya, Hassan District Board.

Note.—Not discussed owing to the absence of the proposer.

PROTECTION.

Legislation.

(Nos. 72 to 79.)

SUBJECT No. 72.

Moving resolutions in the Legislative Council.

Mr. Shankaranarain Rao, Shimoga taluk.

Summary of discussion.—The privilege of moving resolutions in the Legislative Council may be conferred on the non-official members of the Council. For deriving the full benefits of the power of interpellation and budget discussion already conferred, this power is necessary. Till this privilege is granted there will be no chance of the members taking any useful part in the administration. The privilege has been granted to all the Legislative Councils in British India.

Mr. C. Srinivasa Rao.—The Legislative Council is like a committee of the Representative Assembly. If any additional power is to be given it should be to the Representative Assembly and not to the Legislative Council. In British India, the power has been granted to the Legislative Council as there is no institution similar to the Representative Assembly here.

Mr. H. V. Rangasami.—The Legislative Council sits only for four days in the year while the Representative Assembly sits for not less than twelve days. The power can therefore be better exercised by the Representative

Assembly than by the Legislative Council. The Legislative Council does not therefore need it.

Mr. K. Ramanuja Iyengar.—It will be difficult to obtain the view of such a large body as the Representative Assembly. The Legislative Council being a smaller body can discuss subjects better. Further, the best members of the Representative Assembly are generally on the Legislative Council. Therefore, the power should be granted to the Legislative Council.

Mr. M. S. Ramachar.—The Legislative Council is better fitted to get the power as it requires ability, skill and knowledge for its exercise.

Reply of Government.—Will be considered.

SUBJECT No. 73.

Extension of the term of office of the Legislative Council members.

Messrs. V. Rangaswami Iyengar, Seringapatam taluk and H. Krishnasastri, Krishnarajpete taluk.

Summary of discussion.—The term of the members of the Legislative Council may be extended to three years, so that it may be co-terminous with that of the Representative Assembly members and other bodies. Since the term of the members of the Representative Assembly continues till the Dasara Session of 1918, the term of the members of the Legislative Council may be extended till then. The present rule of two years was fixed on the analogy of the rule then in force in British India. But the period has since been raised to three years there. The period may accordingly be increased here also.

Reply of Government.—Will be considered.

SUBJECT No. 74.

Amendment of Order XXI, Rule 89 of the Civil Procedure Code.

Messrs. N. Bhima Rao, Chitaldrug Municipality; Sura Sastri, Chikballapur taluk.

Note.—Not taken up for discussion.

SUBJECT No. 75.

Amendment of the Breach of Contract Act.

Mr. M. Abdul Aziz Saheb, Anjuman-e-Islamia, Chikmagalur.

Note.—Not taken up for discussion.

SUBJECT No. 76.

Amendment of Stamp Regulation.

Messrs. Hutcheerappa and Dhalappa, Anekal taluk.

Note.—Not taken up for discussion.

SUBJECT No. 77.

Amendment of Section 29 of Mysore Registration Regulation.

Mr. B. Kesaviah, Chikmagalur taluk.

Note.—Not taken up for discussion.